

Review

The Bear Fable: A Narrative Psychoeducation Tool for Dissociative and Conversion Disorders

Omityah Madhurendra Grigo¹ and Suresh Kumar Mehta^{2*}

¹Associate Professor, ²Professor and Head

Department of Psychiatry,
Maharishi Markandeshwar College of Medical Sciences and Research,
Sadopur, Ambala, Punjab, Bharat

Corresponding Author Email: drsureshmehta@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Dissociative and conversion disorders are frequently complicated by skepticism from families and caregivers, which can impede treatment engagement. Conventional psychoeducation often fails by inadvertently suggesting volitional control or fabrication. This paper describes a simple, narrative-based psychoeducational tool, the "Bear Fable" used in outpatient psychiatric practice to reframe such symptoms as involuntary, survival-oriented responses. By combining metaphor, humour, and non-confrontational reframing, this approach reduces defensiveness, validates patient experience, and facilitates therapeutic alliance. Its low resource, culturally adaptable nature makes it particularly suitable for primary care and resource limited settings. Preliminary clinical observations suggest improved family acceptance and earlier disclosure of psychosocial stressors. Formal evaluation is warranted.

KEYWORDS: Conversion Disorder; Dissociative Disorders; Functional Neurological Disorder; Psychoeducation; Narrative Therapy; Tonic Immobility.

INTRODUCTION

Dissociative and conversion disorders (functional neurological symptom disorders) remain among the most clinically challenging presentations in psychiatry, not because of diagnostic complexity alone, but because of the profound disbelief and frustration they routinely generate among families, caregivers, and wider social networks¹. Understanding and management of dissociative disorders have long been hindered by polarized conceptualizations: either symptoms reflect genuine, involuntary neurobiological phenomena, or they represent socially constructed, malingered, or hysterical performances². This rigid either/or framing fails clinician and patient alike at the

bedside, where what is critically needed is psychoeducation that validates the patient's subjective distress while simultaneously opening a viable therapeutic pathway^{2,3}.

Standard clinical approaches that emphasize the "functional," "psychogenic," or "unconscious" nature of symptoms frequently misfire^{3,4}. To families unfamiliar with somatic manifestations of psychological distress, such terminology often implies volitional deception or secondary gain, inadvertently reinforcing suspicions that the patient is exaggerating or manufacturing their disability³. Clinicians are widely advised not to confront or challenge the patient on the reality of the symptom; while investigations reveal no structural organic lesion, the

functional impairment and distress are entirely genuine. When families interpret normal diagnostic workups as evidence of fabrication, the resulting invalidation exacerbates the patient's baseline distress, often worsening somatic symptoms in a vicious feedback loop^{1,5}. A practical framework is needed that communicates the somatic reality of the experience without foreclosing psychological exploration or triggering defensive alienation³.

The Bear Narrative Reframe Method

Clinical Procedure

The author has utilized the following narrative approach across years of outpatient psychiatric practice in a resource-limited setting. When faced with diagnostic impasse and familial skepticism, families are told a classic folk fable: two friends encounter an apex predator, a bear, while walking through a dense forest. One companion quickly climbs a high tree to safety; the other, unable to escape or climb, drops to the ground and lies completely still. The bear approaches, sniffs the motionless traveller closely, and eventually wanders away. When asked afterward what the beast whispered in his ear, the prostrate traveller quips: never trust a companion who abandons you in moments of acute danger.

In clinical practice, this punchline reliably introduces levity into a tense consultation room, shifting the emotional dynamic between the patient, family, and clinician from adversarial tension to shared amusement. The clinician then capitalizes on this open moment by posing a reflective question to the room: was the bear deceived by an intentional theatrical performance, or did something far deeper take place?

The Therapeutic Reframe offered is that the man's physiological system shut down entirely viz., behaving as if dead and without his conscious volition. In the evolutionary defense cascade, when active fight or flight mechanisms fail or escape is impossible, the autonomic nervous system defaults to tonic immobility or profound dissociation as an involuntary, survival-oriented adaptation^{1,5}. The functional symptom, whether motor paralysis, non-epileptic seizures, or sensory loss, is thus reframed not as an act of deceit, but as a biological enactment of an organism's only perceived survival response to an overwhelming, inescapable threat.

The clinician addresses the patient directly in the presence of their family: what you are experiencing is not imagined, made up, or false. The "bear" representing the unbearable psychological, emotional, or environmental stressor, was entirely real. The nervous system's somatic shutdown was the only protective response it could muster under acute duress.

The consultation concludes with a single, unpressured premise: gradually, collaboratively, and safely, we will work together to understand what your bear was.

Proposed Mechanisms of Action

The clinical utility of this narrative psychoeducational approach rests on four specific therapeutic mechanisms:

1. Narrative Distance and Externalization:

Grounding the discussion in a third-person folk tale creates a safe conceptual buffer. Patient and relatives process the mechanics of threat-induced paralysis in a neutral story before mapping those insights onto their own domestic dynamics, significantly lowering defensive barriers.

2. Affective Reset via Humour:

The sudden shift from clinical solemnity to shared laughter breaks the adversarial atmosphere, temporarily neutralizing defensiveness and preparing the family to absorb a non-punitive reframe.

3. Absolution of Volitional Guilt:

Explicitly stating that the body acted "without him choosing it" dismantles the accusations of malingering held by caregivers and relieves the debilitating shame internalized by the patient. Psychoeducation facilitates active patient participation only when welcomed rather than rejected; affective containment of the family system is therefore a mandatory clinical prerequisite⁶.

4. Trauma-Informed Pacing:

Framing therapy around "understanding" rather than directly "facing" or "confronting" the stressor prevents premature exposure and re-traumatization. It replaces clinical interrogation with mutual exploration. Consequently, patients frequently disclose their core developmental or interpersonal stressors by the second or third session without direct clinical interrogation.

Clinical Observations

In routine outpatient practice, this approach has been associated with:

- Improved family acceptance of the diagnosis;
- Reduction in confrontational dynamics during consultations;
- Earlier spontaneous disclosure of psychosocial stressors (typically by the second or third visit) and
- Increased patient engagement in follow-up

These observations are anecdotal and require systematic evaluation.

Scope and Limitations

This model is most applicable to presentations where dissociative or conversion symptoms arise in the context of perceived inescapability or overwhelming stress. However, not all such disorders can be fully explained by acute threat responses alone. Chronic somatization, personality-related factors, and secondary gain may also contribute and require additional formulations.

There is also a risk of oversimplification if the metaphor is interpreted narrowly as “fear-based” rather than as a complex interaction of neurobiological and psychological processes.

The reported clinical benefits are based on practitioner observation and have not yet been formally studied. Variability in patient response, particularly in cases of severe or complex trauma, should be expected.

Implications for Practice

The Bear Narrative Reframe Method offers a pragmatic, scalable tool for psychoeducation because:

- It requires no materials or specialized training;
- It is adaptable across literacy levels and cultural contexts;
- It can be implemented in primary care and low-resource settings.
- Its simplicity makes it particularly valuable in environments where access to formal psychotherapy is limited.

Future Directions

Formal evaluation of this approach is warranted. Potential study designs might include:

- Prospective cohort studies assessing family acceptance and treatment adherence;
- Qualitative analyses of patient and caregiver responses;
- Comparative studies with standard psychoeducation approaches;
- Operationalizing outcome measures such as therapeutic alliance, stigma reduction, and time to stressor disclosure would further strengthen the evidence base.

CONCLUSION

The Bear Fable provides a simple yet effective narrative framework for communicating the nature of dissociative and conversion symptoms. By shifting the focus from questions of authenticity to understanding adaptive response, it preserves patient dignity while enabling therapeutic engagement. Narrative-based psychoeducation may represent a valuable adjunct in the management of functional psychiatric disorders, particularly in resource-constrained settings.

DECLARATIONS

Funding: None Declared.

Conflict of Interests Disclosure: The author(s) have declared that no competing interests exist.

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Technologies: The author(s) declare that no generative AI or AI-assisted technologies were used in the preparation of this manuscript.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: May 30, 2026

Published: June 27, 2026

Peer Review: Externally Peer Reviewed.

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial (CC BY- NC 4.0) license, which permits others unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial.

Copyright: © 2026 By The Authors

REFERENCES

1. Perez DL, Edwards MJ, Nielsen G, Kozłowska K, Hallett M, LaFrance WC Jr. Decade of progress in motor functional neurological disorder: continuing the momentum. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2021 Mar 15: jnnp-2020-323953. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2020-323953. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 33722822; PMCID: PMC8440656.
2. Seligman R, Kirmayer LJ. Dissociative experience and cultural neuroscience: narrative, metaphor and mechanism. *Cult Med Psychiatry*. 2008 Mar;32(1):31-64. doi: 10.1007/s11013-007-9077-8. PMID: 18213511; PMCID: PMC5156567.
3. Keatley E, Molton I. A Shift in Approach: Assessment and Treatment of Adults With Functional Neurological Disorder. *J Health Serv Psychol*. 2022;48(2):79-87. doi: 10.1007/s42843-022-00061-w. Epub 2022 Apr 28. PMID: 35502417; PMCID: PMC9047466.
4. Ruffalo, M. L. (2026). On the psychoanalytic treatment of conversion disorder. *Psychiatric Times*.
5. Schauer, M., & Elbert, T. (2010). Dissociation following traumatic stress: Etiology and treatment. *Zeitschrift für Psychologie / Journal of Psychology*, 218(2), 109–127.
6. Ganslev CA, Storebø OJ, Callesen HE, Ruddy R, Søgaard U. Psychosocial interventions for conversion and dissociative disorders in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2020 Jul 17;7(7):CD005331. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD005331.pub3. PMID: 32681745; PMCID: PMC7388313.